

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,665	02/13/2002	Thomas J. Kennedy III	P-4628-3-C1-3-C1	P-4628-3-C1-3-C1 8236	
24492	7590 12/12/2005		EXAMINER		
THE TOP-FLITE GOLF COMPANY, A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY			GORDON, RAEANN		
2180 RUTHERFORD ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LEGAL DEPT			3711		
CARLSBAI	D, CA 92008-7328	DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application N	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/074,665		KENNEDY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Raeann Gorde		3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communics of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I treply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hation.  y period will apply and will expoy statute, cause the application.	COMMUNICATION lowever, may a reply be time bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ton to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>15 July 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-49 and 51-53</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠								
7)	_							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		objected to by the E	xaminer.				
,—	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		-	` ,	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by				· ·			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, ,	., .,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been re	eceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents	have been received	d in this National	Stage			
	application from the International		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified	copies not received	d.				
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	· ·	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat  Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	•••	•			

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Objections

Claims 47-49 and 51-53 are objected to because of the following informalities: the status identifiers are incorrect, i.e. (new) should be (previously amended) or (orginal). Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 46-49 and 51-53 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-

type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-42 of U.S. Patent No.

6,213,894. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Application/Control Number: 10/074,665

Art Unit: 3711

distinct from each other because the '894 claims anticipate the claims of the present invention.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg December 6, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3